www.libertybellmt.com · editor@libertybell.com

## SUSTAINS ACT Gives Unelected Bureaucrats and Potentially China or Environmental Groups Control Over Farmer's and Rancher's Production, Which In Turn Controls Consumption

The SUSTAINS Act hitched a ride with the Omnibus Bill, meaning it didn't pass as a standalone bill. It allows the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) under the United States Department of Agriculture, to accept contributions from private sources whether individuals, corporations, countries (China), foundations (Bill and Melinda Gates . . . ) or environmental groups (Sierra Club . . .) and allow those donors to have a say in determining how to manage agricultural land in America. Imagine China or an environmental group contributing funds to the USDA (American Prairie Restoration . . . ) and then declaring the land was now off limits for cattle ranching. This should be very concerning to everyone in America, not just the farmers and ranchers as it can have a huge impact on what food items get produced in our country (cricket meal in your cereal instead of corn or wheat).

Mindy Patterson, the president of the Cavalry Group, an organization that defends the rights of animal owners, has concerns about the bill. In her opinion the SUSTAINS Act authorizes the NRCS under USDA to accept contributions of non-federal funds to support conservation programs which in turn gives those groups authority to dictate what



happens or doesn't on that land. The landowner no longer has the final say. "For the government to accept private funds to determine how to manage agricultural land is concerning," said Patterson, "especially when the government agency is not elected."

The five-page legislation includes the following language, which puts her on alert: "An easement funded pursuant to this subsection shall be subject to the requirements of the covered program for which the contributed funds were used, except that the Secretary may modify such requirements, as they apply to the easement, for the purpose of Patterson said open-ended legislation like this can be dangerous. "It's difficult to decipher what's really behind these bills. It's like solving a riddle. I don't like riddles and the American people don't like being lied to. To me this is a straight out attack on rural America," she said. "Those private parties could be animal rights groups, they could be environmental extremist organizations, they could be China, they could be John Doe. Then this money goes to a bureaucracy (USDA) to be managed," she said. "So you've got unelected bureaucrats basically running our country and running farmers and ranchers. And now they are taking money from private parties. This is basically private money

influencing government. That's what public-private partnerships are," she said. The USDA news release says that the SUSTAINS Act will use private donations to "expand implementation of conservation practices to sequester carbon, improve wildlife habitat, protect sources of drinking water and address other natural resource priorities."

Patterson said that, although "conservation" and "sustainability" sound positive to the average American, the terms have been weaponized against landowners to implement policies that reduce food production.

"When people think of sustainability, they think of being good stewards of the land so it can be passed on to future generations. Well, farmers and ranchers are already doing that. The word sustainability has been stolen to be used as a mascot for global UN mandates pushing more renewable energy and restrictions on food production."

"Under the heading of climate change, the SUSTAINS Act would expand authority to include conservation programs for carbon sequestration, wildlife improvement and more," she said. "They are already taking farmland out of production for the Endangered Species Act, carbon sequestration, and more," she said. The National Cattlemen's Beef Association backed the SUSTAINS Act during the session.

"Beyond the production of beef, cattle operations across the country are a primary tool for environmental conservation, social responsibility, and rural financial health. The National Cattlemen's Beef Association (NCBA) supported the SUSTAINS Act on Capitol Hill, and we plan to submit comments to USDA-NRCS on its implementation. The SUSTAINS



Act eases the creation of publicprivate partnerships that support practical conservation practices, many of which are already being implemented by cattle producers across the country," said Mary-Thomas Hart, NCBA Chief Counsel.

However, Brett Kenzy, the President of Rancher Cattlemen Association Legal Fund (R-CALF), which is the largest cattle producer-only trade association dedicated to ensuring the continued profitability and viability of the U.S. cattle and sheep industries, is concerned about the bill. "To me, it violates separation of powers, the role of government. R-CALF has fought consolidated corporate power for 25 years and tried to get our government to protect us from that," he said. "And this seems like a bill that merges the government that has failed to protect us from corporate power with that very corporate power itself," said Kenzy. "If that's not the case, I hope someone can explain to me why I'm wrong," he said. "This whole radical climate agenda has swept in like a tidal wave," Kenzy added. "Given the text of this bill and the fact all of this passed without debate, my

humble ask is this: at a minimum, let's lengthen the comment period, and then let's have a conversation about this."

Patterson said she sees this bill as an effort to gain more control over the food producers in this country, and to bring the Environmental and Social Governance policy and Natural AssetCompanies in through the backdoor. "This is not a noble motive at all. This is driven by a UN agenda that the U.S. shouldn't be a part of. Many lawmakers from both parties are corrupt, they are taking so much money into their companies and campaign funds, they have fallen prey to the green agenda," she said. "They want transparency of farmers and ranchers, how about transparency of government?" said Patterson.